Production Portfolio

Level descriptors are outlined for the following assessment criteria.

Criterion A Planning and research

Criterion B Reflection and evaluation

Criterion C Professional and technical skills

Criterion D Effective use of film language

Criterion E Originality and creativity

These assessment criteria are used to assess the different elements of the production portfolio, as shown in the table below.

	Documentation as a whole	Evaluation in individual written commentary	Production
Criterion A	x		
Criterion B		x	
Criterion C	×		×
Criterion D			x
Criterion E		×	×

Criteria Breakdown

Criterion A – Planning and Research

• Have you clearly documented the whole process? Pre-Production? Production? Principal photography? Post-Production?

Criterion B – Reflection and Evaluation

- Have you analysed both the artistic and logistical processes of your Production?
- Have you assessed how well you performed your role and the role of others in your group?

Criteron C – Professional and Technical Skills

- The Technical aspects of your film: camera work; sound; editing etc.
- Does the **execution** of your film demonstrate technical ability?
- Technical Execution

Criterion D – Effective Use of Film Language

- Is your film effective visual language? Are symbols, images incorporated effectively?
- What was the **intention** of your film? Are you using your camera, editing or sound etc to create meaning?
- Artistic Intention.

Criterion E - Originality and Creativity

- Is your work original and fresh? Do you accurately follow or intelligently go against the convetions of the genre?
- To what extent is the audience engaged in your work?

A Planning and research

This criterion is concerned with the documentation of the production process as a whole, from preliminary planning, pre-production, production and principal photography, through to post-production, including the planning and research required for the production of the film trailer.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	There is little or no planning for and research into production processes for the film itself and the trailer. Little documentation of the relevant development stages is displayed.
3–4	There is some planning for and research into production processes for the film itself and the trailer. Some documentation of the relevant development stages is displayed, but this documentation is likely to be incomplete, either lacking sufficient detail in parts or omitting stages in the process.
5–6	There is adequate planning for and research into production processes for the film itself and the trailer. Documentation of the relevant development stages is satisfactory.
7–8	There is good planning for and research into the production processes for the film itself and the trailer. Documentation of the relevant development stages is mostly thorough.
9–10	There is excellent planning for and research into the production processes for the film itself and the trailer. Documentation of the relevant development stages is comprehensive. Planning of production and documentation has all been clearly integrated with the production of the individual film trailer.

B Reflection and evaluation

This criterion is concerned with artistic and logistical analysis of the relevant production processes and the evaluation in the individual student's written commentary on the project as a whole, including the roles of the student and others (where appropriate).

Marks	Level descriptor	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	
1–2	There is a limited artistic and logistical analysis of the relevant production processes, with little critical evaluation of the project as a whole and of the individual film trailer.	
3–4	There is some artistic and logistical analysis of the relevant production processes, with some critical evaluation of the project as a whole and of the individual film trailer.	
5–6	There is a satisfactory artistic and logistical analysis of the relevant production processes, with satisfactory critical evaluation of the project as a whole. There is satisfactory analysis of the different artistic and logistical processes required for the production of the trailer.	
7–8	There is an effective artistic and logistical analysis of the relevant production processes, with good critical evaluation of the project as a whole. There is also an effective analysis of the different artistic and logistical processes required for the production of the trailer.	
9–10	There is a highly effective artistic and logistical analysis of the relevant production processes, with excellent critical evaluation of the project as a whole. There is also a highly effective awareness and analysis of the different artistic and logistical processes required for the production of the trailer.	

C Professional and technical skills

This criterion is concerned with professional and technical skills (including organizational skills) that may be demonstrated during the production processes or in the finished product itself.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	The student demonstrates little or no ability in the professional and technical skills (including organizational skills) necessary for one principal production role, and makes limited use of available resources and technology. Little use is made of available resources and technology in the construction of the individual film trailer.
3–4	The student demonstrates some ability in the professional and technical skills (including organizational skills) necessary for one principal production role, and makes some use of available resources and technology. Some use is made of available resources and technology in the construction of the individual film trailer.
5–6	The student demonstrates satisfactory ability in the professional and technical skills (including organizational skills) necessary for one principal production role, and makes competent use of available resources and technology. There is also satisfactory use made of available resources and technology in the construction of the individual film trailer.
7–8	The student demonstrates good ability in the professional and technical skills (including organizational skills) necessary for one principal production role, and makes effective use of available resources and technology. There is also effective use of available resources and technology in the construction of the individual film trailer.
9–10	The student demonstrates excellent ability in the professional and technical skills (including organizational skills) necessary for one principal production role, and makes highly effective use of available resources and technology. There is also excellent use of available resources and technology in the construction of the individual film trailer.

□ Eπective use of film language

This criterion is concerned with evidence of the student's effective use of film language, as seen in the finished product.

Marks	Level descriptor	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	
1–2	The student demonstrates little or no ability to communicate effectively in film language both in the film itself and in the individual film trailer.	
3–4	The student demonstrates some ability to communicate effectively in film language both in the film itself and in the individual film trailer.	
5–6	The student demonstrates a satisfactory ability to communicate effectively in film language both in the film itself and in the individual film trailer.	
7–8	The student demonstrates a good ability to communicate effectively in film language both in the film itself and in the individual film trailer.	
9–10	The student demonstrates an excellent ability to communicate effectively in film language both in the film itself and in the individual film trailer.	

E Originality and creativity

This criterion is concerned with originality and creativity in the film-making process (referred to as "creative intelligence" in the level descriptors below). This may be demonstrated by freshness of approach, by intelligent work that goes either with or against the conventions of the genre, or by problem solving. Another key indicator is the level of audience engagement with the work.

This criterion is intended to provide a holistic assessment of each student's contribution to the finished film and of the trailer that they have made as an individual.

Marks	Level descriptor	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	
1–2	There is little or no evidence of creative intelligence in the film-making process and little or no awareness of the appropriate generic characteristics of a film trailer. The film production and trailer are of limited interest to audiences.	
3–4	There is some evidence of creative intelligence in some aspects of the film-making process and some awareness of the appropriate generic characteristics of a trailer. The film production and trailer are of some interest to audiences.	
5–6	There is adequate evidence of creative intelligence in most aspects of the film-making process and an adequate awareness and implementation of the appropriate generic characteristics of a film trailer. The production and trailer engage audience interest satisfactorily.	
7–8	There is good evidence of creative intelligence in all aspects of the film-making process and a clear awareness of, and effective implementation of, the appropriate generic characteristics of a film trailer. The film production and trailer engage audience interest well.	
9–10	There is excellent evidence of creative intelligence in all aspects of the film-making process, and there is excellent awareness of, and imaginative use of, the generic characteristics of a film trailer. The film production and trailer engage audience interest with great success.	